


Hydrogen Peroxide Induced Activation of Gene Expression in Mammalian Cells using Boronate Estrone Derivatives**

Jeane M. Govan, Andrew L. McIver, Chad Riggsbee, and Alexander Deiters*

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) plays important roles in biological and cellular processes. H_2O_2 is a reactive oxygen species (ROS) that was originally thought of as only an oxidative stress marker in diseases,^[1] but recently has been shown to be an important secondary messenger in biological systems.^[2] H_2O_2 is involved in several biological processes, including cell signaling,^[3] embryogenesis,^[4] apoptosis,^[5] aging, and diseases, such as cancer^[6] and neurodegenerative diseases.^[7] Herein, we describe the development of a genetic switch that enables the induction of gene expression in response to H_2O_2 . Because of the modularity of the system, any gene of interest can be placed under the control of H_2O_2 . Importantly, this genetic switch allows for the sensitive and selective detection of H_2O_2 in live mammalian cells.

The H_2O_2 -triggered genetic switch relies on a GAL4-UAS (upstream activating sequence) system, in which the DNA-binding domain of GAL4 is fused to an α -estrogen-receptor ligand-binding domain (ER). In the absence of a suitable ER-ligand (e.g., estrone), the GAL4-ER fusion protein is tightly bound to a complex of heat-shock chaperone proteins (e.g., hsp90) that keeps the ER in an inactive state.^[8] Upon ligand binding, the GAL4-ER undergoes a conformational change that displaces the hsp90 complex.^[9] This active GAL4-ER-ligand complex translocates into the nucleus,^[10] binds to the UAS located upstream of the gene of interest, in this case of luciferase, and induces transcription (Scheme 1 a). The GAL4-UAS system was changed into a H_2O_2 -responsive system by taking advantage of a boron-oxidation reaction.^[11] Boronated small



Scheme 1. a) Activation of gene expression by H_2O_2 through the oxidation of boronate estrone derivatives. b) X-ray structure of estrone (green) bound to ERα. PDB: 3M1.


molecules have previously been applied in the fluorescent detection of H_2O_2 ,^[12,13] but not in the activation of gene expression. Based on the results of structure activity relationship studies^[14–16] and X-ray structures (Scheme 1 b),^[17,18] a boronate ester group was introduced at either the 3-hydroxy or the 17-carbonyl position (or both) of estrone, to inhibit binding to the ER. In the presence of H_2O_2 , the boronate group will be oxidized, resulting in the native phenol or ketone, and thus activating gene expression through ER binding.

A series of boronated estrones were synthesized and investigated as H_2O_2 -responsive molecules (Scheme 2). It was previously shown that removal of the 17-carbonyl group reduced the relative binding affinity of estrone by 84%,^[14] and that removal of the 3-hydroxy group reduced the relative binding interaction by two orders of magnitude.^[15] Based on an X-ray structure of the ER (Scheme 1 b), the 17-carbonyl group of estrone interacts with His⁵²⁴, which then forms a hydrogen bond with the peptidic carbonyl group of Glu⁴¹⁹, creating a hydrogen-bonding cascade.^[17] The 3-hydroxy group

[*] J. M. Govan, A. L. McIver, C. R. Riggsbee, Prof. Dr. A. Deiters
Department of Chemistry, North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27695-8204 (USA)
E-mail: alex_deiters@ncsu.edu

[**] We acknowledge financial support from the Department of Chemistry at North Carolina State University and the Beckman Foundation (Beckman Young Investigator award to A.D.).


Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201203222>.



Scheme 2. Structures of boronated estrone derivatives **3–5** and their oxidation to estrone (**1**) by hydrogen peroxide. Dehydroxy estrone (**2**) served as a negative control.

interacts through hydrogen bonds with Glu³⁵³, Arg³⁹⁴, and a water molecule within the binding pocket.^[18] Therefore, the replacement of either one of these groups with a phenyl or vinyl boronic acid ester derivative should render the estrone molecule biologically inactive.^[14,15] If the boronation of either the 3-position (**3**), or 17-position (**4**), or both (**5**) completely inactivates the binding of the estrone derivative to the ER, then estrone activity should be restored through H_2O_2 -mediated oxidation to a functional estrone molecule. In addition, the dehydroxy estrone **2** was used as a negative control compound.

The dehydroxy estrone **2** was prepared in two steps starting from estrone (**1**). The phenolic hydroxy group was transformed into a triflate using trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride in the presence of triethylamine, yielding **6** in 88%.^[19] The triflate was subsequently removed in a Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed reduction to give **2** in 67% yield (Scheme 3).^[20] Pinacolborane was introduced using Suzuki-coupling conditions in the presence of Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene) to give the boronic acid ester **3** from the common triflate intermediate **6** in good yield.^[21] Synthesis of the boronate estrone **4** was completed in four steps starting with triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) ether protection of the phenolic hydroxy group in **1**, giving **7** in quantitative yield.^[22] The carbonyl group in **7** was then converted into the enol triflate **8** using trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride in the presence of 2,6-lutidine. The triflate **8** was then subjected to a Suzuki coupling reaction yielding the TIPS-protected boronate estrone **9**. Removal of the TIPS group with tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) produced the boronate estrone **4** in 77% yield (Scheme 3).^[22] The diboronate estrone **5** was assembled in a similar manner as the boronate estrone **4**. Using 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) as the base in the triflate-forming step lead to a readily separable mixture of the estrone triflate and the estrone ditriflate **10** in 57% yield.^[23] A palladium-mediated Suzuki



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the estrone derivatives **2–5**. a) TIPSCl, imidazole, dimethylformamide (DMF), quantitative yield. b) Tf₂O, triethylamine (TEA), CH₂Cl₂, 88%. c) Pd(OAc)₂, dppf, TEA, HCO₂H, DMF, 60°C, 67%. d) Pinacolborane, Pd(dppf)Cl₂/CH₂Cl₂, TEA, dioxane, 90°C, 71%. e) Tf₂O, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 57%. f) Tf₂O, 2,6-lutidine, DCM, 69%. g) Pinacolborane, Pd(dppf)Cl₂/CH₂Cl₂, TEA, dioxane, 90°C, 46–48%. h) TBAF, tetrahydrofuran (THF), 77%. pin = pinacol; Tf = triflate.

coupling reaction with the ditriflate **10** and pinacolborane led to the diboronated estrone **5** in 46% yield (Scheme 3).

To investigate the activity of estrone and its boronate derivatives, human epithelial carcinoma (A431) cells were transfected with a plasmid expressing GAL4-ER (pBind-ERα) and a plasmid with a UAS-driven luciferase gene (pGL4.35; see Experimental Section). Following transfection, the cells were treated for 2 h with estrone (**1**) or estrone derivatives **2–5** at a concentration of 50 nM. H_2O_2 (100 nM) was added to the cells and luciferase expression was assayed after 48 hours (Figure 1). Treatment with estrone (**1**) resulted in a 43-fold increase in firefly luciferase expression relative to




Figure 1. Hydrogen peroxide-induced activation of gene expression in the presence of boronated estrone analogues. A431 cells (10000) were transfected with pBind-ERα and pGL4.35, treated with estrone derivatives **2–5** (50 nM), followed by exposure to H_2O_2 (100 nM). A luciferase assay was conducted and reporter gene activity was normalized to exposure to estrone (**1**). All experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent the standard deviation.

untreated cells. The dehydroxy estrone **2** was used as a negative control and indeed showed only a basal level of firefly luciferase expression. Moreover, luciferase induction by both estrone (**1**) and dehydroxy estrone (**2**) was not significantly affected by addition of H_2O_2 .

The estrone derivative **3**, with a boronic acid ester at the 3-position, showed a slightly higher background level of luciferase expression than the negative control **2**, but is still mostly inactive compared to estrone (**1**). Upon addition of H_2O_2 , the boronate group of **3** is oxidized and the resulting estrone is able to bind to the ER inducing a fivefold increase in luciferase expression, a level that approximates that of treatment with **1**. The estrone boronated at the 17-position (**4**), displayed a lower background level of luciferase expression than **3** and exposure to H_2O_2 resulted in a 28-fold increase in gene expression. Even though the level of luciferase expression was only 48 % of the natural estrone (**1**), the signal-to-background ratio was excellent. Similar results were found with the diboronated estrone **5**, which displayed a low background level of luciferase activity before exposure to H_2O_2 and an eightfold increase in gene expression after H_2O_2 addition.

The ability of the sensor to detect endogenously produced H_2O_2 in mammalian cells was tested. Cells produce H_2O_2 when stimulated with external cytokines such as transforming growth factor- β 1, interleukin-1, or epidermal growth factor (EGF).^[24] Here, EGF was used to stimulate H_2O_2 production in A431 cells. These assays were conducted as described above but instead of adding H_2O_2 to the media, the cells were treated with EGF ($1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). As seen in Figure 2, the intracellular generation of H_2O_2 can be detected as efficiently as when it is added externally. In comparison to the positive and negative controls (**1** and **2**, respectively), the boronate estrone **3** showed only a moderate level of background luciferase expression before addition of EGF and a fivefold increase in luciferase after EGF addition. Importantly, the boronate estrone **4** and the diboronate estrone **5** showed further reduced levels of background activity, and addition of EGF resulted in a dramatic 33-fold increase in luciferase




Figure 2. Intracellular detection of hydrogen peroxide. A431 cells (10000) were transfected with pBind-ER α and pGL4.35, and treated with estrone analogues **2–5** (50 nM) and EGF ($1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). A firefly luciferase assay was performed and reporter gene activity was normalized to exposure to estrone (**1**). All experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent the standard deviation.

activity for the boronate estrone **4** and a fivefold increase for the diboronate estrone **5**. The lower activation from **5** is presumably the result of an incomplete conversion into **1** by intracellularly generated H_2O_2 . Further increase in the concentration of EGF added to the cell culture media led to a linear increase in luciferase signal, because cellular H_2O_2 production increases with increasing EGF exposure^[25] (see Supporting Information).

Thus, the developed H_2O_2 reporter provides a substantially greater dynamic range than previously reported H_2O_2 sensors. The higher signal-to-background ratio (up to 33-fold) of this system may be the result of two linked catalytic processes: gene transcription induced by H_2O_2 and subsequent bioluminescence through conversion of luciferin into oxyluciferin catalyzed by luciferase. Intracellular detection of H_2O_2 through fluorescence measurements have been reported using boronate fluorophores^[13] and by a genetically encoded protein that emits fluorescence when oxidized by H_2O_2 .^[26,27] However, only two- to sixfold changes in fluorescence were measured with these systems. Importantly, since any coding or non-coding genetic sequence can be cloned downstream of the UAS, the developed system reported herein can also be used as a transcriptional switch for the activation of any gene of interest by H_2O_2 .

One challenge in creating a cellular H_2O_2 reporter is to ensure that it is sensitive and selective to H_2O_2 over other competing cellular ROS, such as hydroxyl radicals and hypochlorite ions.^[27,28] In this regard, the selectivity of the gene activation system was tested in cell culture by treatment with several ROS: H_2O_2 , *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), hypochlorite (OCl^-), hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$, generated from H_2O_2 and FeSO_4), and *tert*-butoxy radical ($\cdot\text{OtBu}$, generated from TBHP and FeSO_4). The boronate estrone **3** was used because it showed the highest recovery of gene expression after addition of H_2O_2 , relative to native estrone (see Figure 1). No reporter gene expression was detected in response to any ROS except H_2O_2 (Figure 3). Following addition of H_2O_2 to cells treated with the boronate estrone **3**,




Figure 3. The boronated estrone derivative **3** is selective for H_2O_2 . A431 cells (10000) were transfected with pBind-ER α and pGL4.35, treated with estrone (**1**, 50 nM), boronate estrone **3** (50 nM), or DMSO only, then exposed to the ROS (100 nM) shown under the graph, and a luciferase assay was performed. Reporter gene activity is normalized to treatment with estrone (**1**). All experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent the standard deviation.

a luciferase response almost identical to that of native-estrone-induced levels was detected. However, exposure to TBHP, OCl^- , $\cdot\text{OH}$, or $\cdot\text{O}^-\text{tBu}$, instead of H_2O_2 , only resulted in background levels of gene expression. To confirm that these results are the consequence of a highly selective oxidation of the boronate estrone **3**, *in vitro* oxidation reactions were analyzed by GC (see Supporting Information, Figure S5). Furthermore, a modified cell-based assay was performed where the estrone and boronate estrone **3** were incubated with the ROS reagents prior to addition to the cells. In this assay, if the ROS oxidizes **3**, it should do so before being introduced into the cell, regardless of its lifetime. Confirming our previous results, selective activation of luciferase activity was detected exclusively in the presence of H_2O_2 and no other ROS reagent (see Supporting Information, Figure S6). Together, these results indicate that the estrone derivative **3**, in conjunction with a genetically encoded reporter, is highly specific for H_2O_2 and can differentiate it from other ROS with an exceptionally high signal-to-background ratio.

In summary, we have developed a genetically encoded gene activation system that selectively responds to H_2O_2 . This method can be used for the activation of any gene of interest. A central component of this system is a novel boronate estrone “cofactor” that is cell permeable but inactive until oxidized by H_2O_2 . The oxidation step converts the inactive boronate estrone into estrone, which induces transcriptional activation of the gene of interest, for example, a luciferase reporter gene. The sensor was able to detect H_2O_2 that was either added to the cellular medium or generated endogenously through growth factor-induced cellular H_2O_2 production. Importantly, the system is highly specific for H_2O_2 and is not activated by any other reactive oxygen species. In contrast to previously reported intracellular H_2O_2 sensors, this system displays a substantially larger dynamic range of output signal. Moreover, it is conceivable that this system could be adapted to other orthogonal, ligand-induced transcription factors to activate genes of interest in response to an H_2O_2 stimulus. For example, in addition to transcriptional activators, fusion proteins of the ER have been used in the conditional control of Cre recombinase,^[29] the I-Sec1 restriction enzyme,^[30] Flpe recombinase,^[10] and interferon regulatory factor-3.^[31] Thus, these proteins and others could also be regulated by intracellular H_2O_2 levels using boronate estrone derivatives.

Experimental Section

Estrone-induced gene expression in mammalian cells: A431 human epithelial carcinoma cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Hyclone), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) and 10% streptomycin/penicillin (MP Biomedicals). Cells were passaged into a 96-well plate (200 μL per well, 10000 cells per well) and transfected with pBind-ER α (0.15 μg , Promega) and pGL4.35 (0.15 μg , Promega) using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. All transfections were performed in triplicate. After a 16 h incubation, the medium was replaced with DMEM growth media containing the estrone derivatives. The cells were then treated with H_2O_2 (100 nM) or EGF (1 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 . Luciferase expression was determined with a Bright Glo-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega) using a Biotek Synergy 4 micro-

plate reader. For each of the triplicates, the data were averaged and standard deviations were calculated.

Received: April 26, 2012

Revised: June 28, 2012

Published online: July 31, 2012

Keywords: biosensors · estrogen receptor · estrone · gene expression · hydrogen peroxide

- [1] D. Banerjee, U. K. Madhusoodanan, S. Nayak, J. Jacob, *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2003**, 334, 205–209.
- [2] a) M. E. Rice, *Neuroscientist* **2011**, 17, 389–406; b) E. Veal, A. Day, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2011**, 15, 147–151.
- [3] L. Holmquist, G. Stuchbury, M. Steele, G. Münch, *J. Neural Transm. Suppl.* **2007**, 72, 39–41.
- [4] M. H. Nasr-Esfahani, J. R. Aitken, M. H. Johnson, *Development* **1990**, 109, 501–507.
- [5] G. Nindl, N. R. Peterson, E. F. Hughes, L. R. Waite, M. T. Johnson, *Biomed. Sci. Instrum.* **2004**, 40, 123–128.
- [6] M. López-Lázaro, *Cancer Lett.* **2007**, 252, 1–8.
- [7] J. Lee, S. Giordano, J. Zhang, *Biochem. J.* **2012**, 441, 523–540.
- [8] M. Nichols, J. M. Rientjes, C. Logie, A. F. Stewart, *Mol. Endocrinol.* **1997**, 11, 950–961.
- [9] W. B. Pratt, M. J. Welsh, *Semin. Cell Biol.* **1994**, 5, 83–93.
- [10] N. L. Hunter, R. B. Awatramani, F. W. Farley, S. M. Dymecki, *Genesis* **2005**, 41, 99–109.
- [11] a) H. Kuivila, R. Wiles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, 77, 4830–4834; b) H. Kuivila, A. Armour, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 79, 5659–5662; c) J. L. Major Jourden, S. Cohen, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, 122, 6947–6949; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 6795–6797.
- [12] S. G. Rhee, T. S. Chang, W. Jeong, D. Kang, *Mol. Cells* **2010**, 29, 539–549.
- [13] B. C. Dickinson, C. Huynh, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 5906–5915.
- [14] B. T. Zhu, G. Z. Han, J. Y. Shim, Y. Wen, X. R. Jiang, *Endocrinology* **2006**, 147, 4132–4150.
- [15] H. Fang, W. Tong, L. M. Shi, R. Blair, R. Perkins, W. Branham, B. S. Hass, Q. Xie, S. L. Dial, C. L. Moland, D. M. Sheehan, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2001**, 14, 280–294.
- [16] a) W. Tong, R. Perkins, R. Strelitz, E. R. Collantes, S. Keenan, W. J. Welsh, W. S. Branham, D. M. Sheehan, *Environ. Health Perspect.* **1997**, 105, 1116–1124; b) V. C. Jordan, S. Mittal, B. Gosden, R. Koch, M. E. Lieberman, *Environ. Health Perspect.* **1985**, 61, 97–110.
- [17] M. Gangloff, M. Ruff, S. Eiler, S. Duclaud, J. M. Wurtz, D. Moras, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, 276, 15059–15065.
- [18] D. M. Tanenbaum, Y. Wang, S. P. Williams, P. B. Sigler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, 95, 5998–6003.
- [19] T. Furuya, A. E. Strom, T. Ritter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 1662–1663.
- [20] S. Cacchi, P. Ciattini, E. Morera, G. Ortar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 5541–5544.
- [21] V. Ahmed, Y. Liu, C. Silvestro, S. D. Taylor, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, 14, 8564–8573.
- [22] F. Jourdan, M. Leese, W. Dohle, E. Ferrandis, S. Newman, S. Chander, A. Purohit, B. Potter, *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, 54, 4863–4879.
- [23] D. A. Holt, M. A. Levy, D. L. Ladd, H. J. Oh, J. M. Erb, J. I. Heaslip, M. Brandt, B. W. Metcalf, *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, 33, 937–942.
- [24] Y. S. Bae, S. W. Kang, M. S. Seo, I. C. Baines, E. Tekle, P. B. Chock, S. G. Rhee, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, 272, 217–221.
- [25] J. L. Macdonald-Obermann, D. Piwnica-Worms, L. J. Pike, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, 109, 137–142.

- [26] V. V. Belousov, A. F. Fradkov, K. A. Lukyanov, D. B. Staroverov, K. S. Shakhbazov, A. V. Tersikh, S. Lukyanov, *Nat. Methods* **2006**, 3, 281–286.
- [27] K. N. Markvicheva, D. S. Bilan, N. M. Mishina, A. Y. Gorokhovatsky, L. M. Vinokurov, S. Lukyanov, V. V. Belousov, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, 19, 1079–1084.
- [28] M. C. Chang, A. Pralle, E. Y. Isacoff, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 15392–15393.
- [29] R. Feil, J. Brocard, B. Mascres, M. LeMeur, D. Metzger, P. Chambon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, 93, 10887–10890.
- [30] A. Hartlerode, S. Odate, I. Shim, J. Brown, R. Scully, *PLoS One* **2011**, 6, e16501.
- [31] L. Yao, X. Yan, H. Dong, D. R. Nelson, C. Liu, X. Li, *Virol. J.* **2011**, 8, 445.
-